1 2 3	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney JAMES R. CONOLLY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814	
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
5		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-147-02 WBS
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 2.22-CR-147-02 W BS
12	V.	STIPULATION TO SET STATUS CONFERENCE AND TO EXCLUDE TIME PERIODS UNDER
13	EPIFANIO RAMIREZ,	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER
14	Defendant.	DATE: Luca 20, 2025
15		DATE: June 30, 2025 TIME: 10:00 a.m.
16		COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, Epifanio	
19	Ramirez, by and through defendant's counsel of record, Olaf Hedberg, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. On May 28, 2025, the other defendants in this case requested via stipulation and proposed	
21	order a continuance of the June 2, 2025 status conference to August 18, 2025, asking that time be	
22	excluded for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act, under local code T4. The Court so ordered. ECF	
23	No. 172.	
24	2. Defendant Epifanio Ramirez requested that the Court set the matter for a change of plea	
25	on June 2, 2025, and indicated his intent to enter an open plea to the charges in the indictment.	
26	3. The Court set the matter for a change of plea hearing on June 2, 2025. At that hearing,	
27	the change of plea proceedings began, but were set aside ultimately, and the matter was continued to	

28 June 30, 2025. ECF No. 173.

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- 4. Defendant Ramirez has now indicated that he no longer intends to enter an open plea on June 30, 2025, and instead requests that the matter be set for a status conference with the other defendants.
- 5. By this stipulation, therefore, defendant Ramirez now moves to set his matter for the Status Conference on August 18, 2025 at 10:00 a.m., and to exclude time between the date of this Court's order, below, and August 18, 2025, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].
  - 6. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - a) The government has produced discovery in this matter, consisting of over 1,300 pages of investigative reports and photographs, including arrest reports, DEA reports, and other supporting documents. The government has also made available to the defense for viewing at the U.S. Attorney's Office, by appointment, hours of video surveillance evidence for multiple controlled drug buys in the underlying investigation.
  - b) Given the changes in scheduling a change of plea in this matter, defense counsel requires further time to discuss the case with his client. As part of that, defense counsel desires additional time to conduct investigation into the charges, the alleged roles of their respective clients, and to review discovery in this case, including watching the video evidence the government has made available. Defense counsel will need additional time to discuss potential resolutions with his client, prepare pretrial motions, and otherwise prepare for trial.
  - c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
    - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
  - e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
  - f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 30, 2025 to August 18, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\( \} 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]

## Case 2:22-cr-00147-WBS Document 177 Filed 06/26/25 Page 3 of 3

because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

7. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

**ORDER** 

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 24, 2025 MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney

/s/ JAMES R. CONOLLY

JAMES R. CONOLLY

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: June 24, 2025 /s/ OLAF HEDBERG

OLAF HEDBERG Counsel for Defendant EPIFANIO RAMIREZ

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.

Dated: June 25, 2025

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Va ShubE

2627

28